



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,335	11/29/2000	John C. Goodwin III	9127.00	3544

26884 7590 02/15/2006

PAUL W. MARTIN
NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT.
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001

EXAMINER

VU, THONG H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2142

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/727,335

Applicant(s)

GOODWIN ET AL.

Examiner

Thong H. Vu

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

1. Claims 1-8 are pending.
2. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed 1/17/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 under Vallmajo-Testa references have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the previous Office Action has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Yu, Ramachandran, Chang references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yu
[2002/0065808 A1].

3. As per claim 1, Yu discloses a method of printing information by a kiosk comprising the steps of:
 - (a) receiving a command to display a web page in response to user operation of the node [Yu, Internet, 0044];
 - (b) receiving a command to print information in the displayed web page in response to user operation of the kiosk (i.e.: PDA) [Yu, PDA, 0044];

(c) obtaining an address of the displayed web page by the kiosk [Yu, Internet web site, 0047-0058];

(d) determining a format for printing the information from the web page on receipt paper the receipt paper smaller than the web page using the address by the node [Yu, printed, smaller than the standard size paper, 0004];

(e) creating a script to print the information in the format by the kiosk [Yu, selected format, 0047];

(f) executing the script to cause a receipt printer of the kiosk to print the information on the receipt paper by the node [Yu, edit the image and print on various formats of paper output, col 1 lines 12-19].

4. As per claim 2, Yu discloses determining placeholders (i.e.: key identifiers) for the information; and (d-2) arranging the placeholders in the script in accordance with the format [Yu, selected format, 0047].

5. As per claim 3, Yu discloses (d-3) determining text to be printed with the information; and (d-4) arranging the text in the script in accordance with the format [Yu, selected format, 0047].

6. As per claim 4, Yu discloses (d-3) determining a graphic image to be printed with the information; and (d-4) arranging the graphic image in the script in accordance with the format [Yu, selected format, 0047].

7. Claims 5-8 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 1. Therefore, claims 5-8 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by
Ramachandran et al [Ranmachandran 2001/0044747 A1].

8. As per claim 1, Ramachandran discloses a method of printing information by a kiosk comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving a command to display a web page in response to user operation of the node [Ramachandran, Internet, 0051];

(b) receiving a command to print information in the displayed web page in response to user operation of the kiosk (i.e.: hand-held computer) [Ramachandran, hand-held computer, 0031];

(c) obtaining an address of the displayed web page by the kiosk [Ramachandran, Internet web site, 0051; IP address, 0090];

(d) determining a format for printing the information from the web page on receipt paper the receipt paper smaller than the web page using the address by the node [Ramachandran, printer, smaller wallet size, 0088];

(e) creating a script to print the information in the format by the kiosk [Ramachandran, selected format, 0092];

(f) executing the script to cause a receipt printer of the kiosk to print the information on the receipt paper by the node [Ramachandran, customizable program criteria, 0051].

9. As per claims 2-4, Ramachandran discloses determining placeholders (i.e.: key identifiers) for the information; and (d-2) arranging the placeholders in the script in accordance with the format [Ramachandran, selected format, 0092].

10. Claims 5-8 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 1. Therefore, claims 5-8 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chang et al.

11. As per claim 1, Chang discloses a method of printing information by a kiosk comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving a command to display a web page in response to user operation of the node [Chang, Internet, 0012];

(b) receiving a command to print information in the displayed web page in response to user operation of the kiosk [Chang, web page, 0009];

(c) obtaining an address of the displayed web page by the kiosk [Chang, IP address, 0173];

(e) creating a script to print the information in the format by the kiosk [Chang, kiosk and printer, receipt, 0005];

(f) executing the script to cause a receipt printer of the kiosk to print the information on the receipt paper by the node [Chang, kiosk and printer, receipt, 0005].

Chang does not explicitly detail "(d) determining a format for printing the information from the web page on receipt paper the receipt paper smaller than the web page using the address by the node".

However Chang taught "conforming at the information apparatus the data content to an output data format compatible with the one or more selected output" [Chang, page 17 claim 26];

It was clearly that an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the output data format compatible with one selected kiosk and its printer receipt.

Doing so would provide a dynamic and efficient process to print different format from a Web page to a kiosk 's printer receipt in accordance with the predetermined criteria.

12. As per claims 2-4 Chang discloses determining placeholders (i.e.: key identifiers) for the information; and (d-2) arranging the placeholders in the script in accordance with the format [Chang, criteria, 0136-0137].

13. Claims 5-8 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 1. Therefore, claims 5-8 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thong Vu*, whose telephone number is (571)-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Andrew Caldwell*, can be reached at (571) 272-3868. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Vu
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2142

